



TYPES OF TECHNICAL TRANSLATION

Ahunbabaeva N. Kh, Yunusova N. M

Namangan Engineering Technological Institute, Namangan, Uzbekistan

Abstract: Although technical writing and technical translation may be similar in the content they work with, they are different as translators translate what the technical writers produce. The purpose of technical writing is to explain how to do something. Technical translating is similar; however it attempts to communicate how someone else explains how something is done. “The technical translator, like the technical writer, wants to produce a document that is clear and easy to understand”. Translators may also consider controlled language and whether it applies in their target language culture.

Keywords: technical writing, purpose, to communicate, explain, implications, cost, interaction, experiment, translator, expensive.

While no machine translation device is able to replicate or replace the dynamics of a human translator, ^[1] machine translation certainly poses important advantages. In fact, there are many practical uses for and implications of machine translation for the field of technical translation. Machine translation has major cost advantages as compared to human translation. In fields of technical communication where information is constantly changing, for example, the stock market machine translation when paired with human interaction. In a mixed methods experiment, researchers first or jobs related to the weather, the cost of paying a human translator to constantly update information would become quite expensive. Additionally, situations that involve translating massive volumes of information over a short period of time, or situations that require speedy and frequent communication would benefit from machine translation. In such circumstances, a machine translator would be advantageous from a financial perspective. ^[2]

Just as important as proper translation of linguistic qualities of languages is the subject of culture and how specific cultural features are transferred and communicated in the field of technical translation. In fact, a mutual understanding of cultural components is just as important as linguistic knowledge in technical translation. ^[3] This highlights the complicated nature of working with technical translation. Various cultures can exhibit drastic differences in how communication occurs, even when both cultures are working with the same target language. One Canadian technical translator and consultant working with Russian colleagues detailed difficulties while working with both North American English and global English. Encountering discrepancies in rhetorical writing strategies, differentiation in tones, document formatting issues, and conflicting conceptual goals for engineering reports, the author emphasizes cultural practices, outside of the direct realm of linguistic forms, which can impede proper communication in technical translation. ^[4]

In an example using a commonly translated document, the United Nation's Universal Declaration of Human Rights, a researcher used correlation analyses, including semantic network analysis and spatial modeling, to interpret data describing differences among seven different translated versions of the document. Demonstrating how culture plays an important role in the process of technical translation, the results of the study showed that while the translations were fairly similar, cultural subtleties and differences existed in each language's translated version. For example, across the seven languages,

common words such as "people", "individual", "man", "nation", "law", "faith", and "family" had differing levels of importance in relation to other words in the language. While in Arabic the word "man" exhibited high levels of importance in the text, other languages placed higher levels of importance with words such as "person" or "individual". In another example, the English word for "entitle" and the Chinese word for "enjoy" carried connotations attached to the concept of "rights",^[5] demonstrating a linkage of concepts unique to each individual language. These slight differences demonstrate the culturally specific nuances that exist across languages. As with any type of non-MT, it is still a process completed by human beings, making it impossible for total objectivity.

References

1. Byrne, Jody (2006). *Technical Translation: Usability Strategies for Translating Technical Documentation*. Dordrecht: Springer. pp. 3–4.
2. Williams, J; A. Chesterman (2002). *The Map: A Beginner's Guide to Doing Research in Translation Studies*. Manchester: Saint Jerome Publishing. pp. 12–13.
3. Byrne, Jody (2006). *Technical Translation: Usability Strategies for Translating Technical Documentation*. Dordrecht: Springer.
4. Byrne, Jody. *Technical Translation*. The Netherlands: Springer, 2006.
5. Larson, Mildred L., ed., *Translation: Theory and Practice, Tension and Interdependence*. (Binghamton: American Translators Association Scholarly Monographs, 1991).
6. Thompson, Daniel. "Theophilus Presbyter: Word and Meaning in Technical Translation." *Medieval Academy of America* 42.2 (1967): 313–339. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2854679>.
7. Finch, C. *An Approach to Technical Translation: An Introductory Guide for Scientific Readers*. New York: Pergamon Press, 1969.