



THE IMPACT OF LEADERSHIP STYLE, JOB MOTIVATION AND JOB SATISFACTION ON THE EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE OF MANADO ADVENTIST HOSPITAL

Eddy Antou, Fientje JA Oentoe, Harol R Lumapow, Anetha Tilaar Manado State University

Abstract: The purpose of this study was to analyze the impact of leadership style, work motivation, and job satisfaction on employee performance at Manado Adventist Hospital. Every company or hospital seeks to improve employee performance in the hope of increasing company productivity. The population and sample in this study were all employees of the Manado Adventist Hospital, amounting to 400 people. With the Slovin's formula, the number of samples needed in the data collection process is obtained. The number of research samples as many as 80 employees of Manado Adventist Hospital. This number has met the requirements of SEM AMOS 21 analysis. The data collection method is the census method as primary data. Data collection using questionnaires and data analysis techniques using the SEM AMOS 21 analysis approach. The results of the study stated that leadership style had not significant impact on employee performance with CR value 0.568 < 2, P value 0.570 > 0.05 and CMIN/DF1.722 <2. Leadership style has a positive and significant impact on job satisfaction with CR 3.404 > 2. P value *** significant < 0.001 and CMIN/DF 1.722 < 2. Work motivation has not significant impact on job satisfaction with CR 0.013 < 2, P value 0.987 > 0.05 and CMIN/DF 1.772 < 22. Work motivation has a significant positive impact on employee performance with CR 3.537 < 2, P value *** means significant < 0.001 and CMIN/DF 1.722 < 2. Job satisfaction has no significant impact on employee performance with CR -1.013 < 2, P value 0.311 > 0.05 and CMIN/DF 1.722 < 2. While the leadership style according to the results of covariance analysis has an impact on work motivation with CR 3.944 > 2 with P value *** meaning significant 0.001. Leadership style and work motivation do not directly impact job satisfaction. Likewise, leadership style and job satisfaction do not directly affect employee performance. This can be seen in the total direct effects, standardized direct effects in this study.

Keywords: Leadership style, Work motivation, Job satisfaction and employee Performance.

PRELIMINARY

Because of the importance leadership in organization so need is known definition leadership from the experts namely: Fiedler (1967) leadership is basically is pattern connection among individuals who use authority and influence to group of people to work together for reach something goal. Purwanto, (1990) leadership as something personality someone who emits influence certain, strength like that appearance so that make a group of people want To do what he wants. Koontz (1984) defines that leadership as influence, art, or process of influencing people so that they will attempted reach objective group with will and enthusiasm. Fahmi, (2013) in Ratna (2021) that leadership own influence big in push enhancement performance his employees. Amirullah (2015) leadership is a person who has authority give task, ability persuades or influencing others through connection good To use reach goal already _ determined. Carter V. Good (1959) defines leadership is ability for inspire, guide, direct or manage other people. Come on in Ismainar, (2015) leadership is ability individual for influence, motivate, and be able to make others give contribution to effectiveness Management Company. Research conducted by Elton Mayo (1880-1949) resulted in that connection human is term common

frequent _ worn for describe method interaction manager with his subordinates by humane. Could seen importance existence a leader with a style leadership in company. Leadership hold role central in organization, so that a leader should own conditions that are not light as well as capable carry out assigned tasks _ to him.

Based on explanation experts _ on so could concluded that leadership is ability somebody influence, motivate others to carry out duties and obligations in effort reach objective together. Leadership includes the process of influencing in determine objective organization, motivate behavior follower for reach objective, repair group and culture. Effective leadership and _ efficient efficient will could fulfil hope organizations that have determined before.

For could understand essence leadership so need know and understand theories leadership. In Thing this will put forward theories leadership in three approach according to Lunenberg & Orstein (1991) namely :

a. Approach nature.

Theory approach nature center attention to leader that alone or known theory nature. Theory this say that leader own traits _ _ certain that can lead his followers.

Handoko (2001:297) mentions that traits that among others: (1)

Ability as supervisor, (2) need will performance in work, (3) intelligence, (4) assertiveness, (5) trust self. (6) initiative in carry out the leader.

Approach behavior.

Theory behavior this based on thought, that leadership is integration Among leader with followers, and in interaction the followers analyze and perceive _ is accept or refuse his leadership. Approach behavior produce two orientation that is behavior task - oriented leader _ or who prioritize solution tasks and people - oriented or people - centred leaders creation connection humane. Behavior task - oriented leader _ showing style leadership authoritative, while behavior people - oriented leaders display style democratic or participatory (Budhi, 2011).

Theory approach behavior mention that approach behavior no based on nature a leader, but decisive _ is behavior effective leader _ as following : (1) delegate Duty to his subordinates. (2) communicate and motivate his subordinates. and (3) run tasks. View theory behavior Emphasis on functions leadership in the group. Group effective if member group carry out function with good among others: (1) relate with task (*task related*) or solving problem. (2) maintenance group (*maintanace group*).

According to theory behavior there is two function main a leader that is function first give motivation work, able understand state child the fruit as well as try Upgrade performance his employees. Function second leader make group walk more good, and prevent difference opinion cause conflict as stated by Sastradipoera (1998) that " leadership " based on behavior is based leadership _ on observation what effective leaders do ". _ _ Function leadership give leeway to individual for realize motivation alone for satisfying needs and at the same time give donation for achievement objective organization. Theory leadership based on behavior state that leadership more employee oriented _ _ by humane, so always give motivation, involve employee in taking decision, create friendship and mutual respect.

Approach situational.

Theory approach situational state that since formerly no there is one style suitable leadership _ for all condition or situation. According to Koontz, O'Donnel & Wehirich (1990) stated that factor situation influence a leader in take decision as current job _ handled, environment organization, and characteristics the employees they face. Draft leadership situational at first developed by Paul Hersey & Blanchard who wrote book leader situational. Blanchard & Hersey (2014) introduced theory first as

"theory " cycle life leadership" and then change name Becomes theory leadership situational 1972. Leadership situational is "*a leadership contingency theory that focuses on follower's readiness/maturity*". The essence of theory situational leadership is that style leadership a leader will different, depending on from level readiness of his followers. Assessment about leadership growing next _ see situations and beliefs of leaders in his leadership especially in the process of taking right decision _ based on existing conditions and situations. _ Siagian (2003) stated that "effectiveness " leadership somebody very depends on two Thing that is election style proper leadership _ for face situation certain and level maturity (maturity) in the subordinates they lead ". Professional leader _ will always attempted adapt behavior leadership with tasks and characteristics his subordinates. Every leader own choice must -do task done, and how influence member. Every leader own style different leadership _ depends on situation the company he leads.

Definition style leadership need understood through the experts leadership namely: Samsudin S (2009) "Leadership style for a leader is unique and not could inherited by automatic. Every leader own characteristics that arise in different situations". _ McColl Kennedy, JR (2002) "Style leadership could formulate as something pattern designed behavior _ for blend interests organization and personnel chase a number of target. Thoha (2001) suggests that "style " leadership is norm behavior used _ a leader when that person influence other people 's behavior as it exists he see ". Mulyasa (2002) stated that "style "leadership is something pattern behavior member group or subordinates ". Tjiptono (2003), said that " style " leadership is something method used _ leaders _ in interact with his subordinates ". Next Sopiah (2008), "style leadership is various pattern behavior of leaders _ _ during the directing process or lead as well as affect workers". For that Vigoda Gadot (2006) states that the only leader depend on style leadership no Becomes guarantee to not quite enough answer in enhancement performance employee or achievement goal already set by the company. McColl Kennedy (2002) states that perception employee to ability style leadership for give motivation work in effort achievement goals and expected results. Through expert explanation on so could concluded that style leadership is something method leader for influence his subordinates with use method or style certain as well as dynamic power for encourage, motivate and coordinate business company to achieve goal already set previously.

In leadership organization there is types leadership used for manage company in general. A number of types great leadership close relationship with effectiveness performance employee company. Bhatti (2012) shared types close leadership relationship with performance company and recognized his existence as following: Leadership authoritarian is a very good leadership model directives and members organization not allowed for participate in taking decision.

Leader take authority full as well as carry not quite enough full answer, start from initiation until with solution task. Leader compile situation complete work for its members. Leader takes authority full as well as carry not quite enough full answer, start from initiation until with solution task. A leader autocratic is a selfish person. According to Kambey (2013) a leader autocratic will show attitude His prominence that stands out, always ignore role subordinate in the process of taking decision, no want to accept suggestions, views his subordinates. Andang (2014) stated that leadership authoritarian put power in hand one person. In leadership authoritarian leader Act as ruler single and task child fruit solely only as executor decision from leader. Kambey (2013) stated that the leader always play a role as player single on *one man one show*, he ambitious for rule situation.

Laissez-faire leadership is a leadership model in which the leader give freedom full to group and hand it over to member for make decision individual alone. Leader with style leadership Lassiez Faire will let subordinates / others for make decision. Handoko and Reksohadiprodjo (2000) describe 3 characteristics from leader with style Laissez Faire leadership, namely: (1) Leaders let his subordinates for arrange herself alone. (2) Leader only determine wisdom and purpose general. (3) Subordinates could take decision relevant for reach objective in all things they consider fit. Subordinate same very no got instruction from the leader. Here leader actually no could said truly lead organization, and even every achievements obtained no once free of competent people under him.

The results of Herzberg 's research in Luthans (2006) state that a in carry out her job influenced by two factor namely : motivator factors and hygiene factors. Motivating factors related with aspects contained in profession or also called aspect intrinsic job. Factors included here is success to do task, update, work that alone, responsible answer, possible for development, opportunity for forward. Hygiene factors are factors that exist around work, relationship with *job content* or aspect profession extrinsic. Factors included here is condition the place work, connection between personal, wisdom company, technique supervision, wages /salaries. Luthans (2006) added that sense of comfort in work, generally related with experience work and satisfaction work. A will proud and satisfied with profession because available facilities. _

METHODS

A. Research Place and Time

The place study carried out at home Adventist Sick Jl February 14 No 1 Ear on Subdistrict Wanea in the city of Manado. Study done for 6 (six) months that is from May 2021 to with October 2021.

B. Data Types and Sources

Type of data used in composing writing is in the form of qualitative data and quantitative data, while data source used is in the form of primary data. Qualitative data entered into excel then transferred to SPSS 21 to be quantitative data and ready use for further data analysis.

C. Population and Sample

Population study this covers employee medical and non- medical active House Adventist Hospital in Manado city. Amount employee medical (Service medical, support medical and service nursing) which amounted to 230 people and a total of non - medical employees (non- medical support) totaling 170 people. So the number employee House Manado Adventist Hospital has 400 employees. Distribution total as well as percentage population employee medical and non- medical House Manado Adventist Sick get seen with clear in table 3.1.

No	Type employee	Amount population (people)	Population percentage
1	Employee Medical	230	57.5
2	Non- medical employees	170	42.5
	Amount	400	100

Table 3.1. Amount Population and Sample Research

Source: Processed Primary data in 2021

Determination total sample meoode survey with *simple random sampling*. the size sample determined with formula Slovin :For population (N) of 400 people the specified value of e by 10%. With thus size sample required based on formula Slovin as following:

$$n = \frac{N}{1+N e^2}$$

$$n = \frac{400}{1+400 (0.01)}$$

$$n = \frac{400}{5} = 80 \text{ samples}$$

Description:

- n = Total member sample
- N = Total member population
- e = Error level (level error) 10% (0.1)

the size sample study in accordance with formula Slovin that is by 80

sample (respondent) or 20% of total population. This thing already in accordance with total sample AMOS SEM prerequisites. This thing in accordance with with Satora and Saris (1985) stated that total the minimum sample is around 75 - 200. So the number of sample on research this already fulfil condition *structural equation*

modeling (SEM) with program Analysis Moment of Structure (AMOS).

retrieval technique sample is *simple random sampling* with counting big sample on each group employee by proportional namely in table 3.2 as following :

Amount sample each group = $\frac{jumlah \ karyawan \ tiap \ grup}{Jumlah \ populasi}$ x Total sample

No	Group / Group	Amount employee	Sample
1	Medical	230	230/400 x 80 = 46
2	Non-Medical	170	170/400 x 80 = 34
3	Amount	400	80
	Employee		

DISCUSSION

Test hypothesis this used for test hypothesis study as stated in Chapter II above. On testing hypothesis based on processing of research data that has been obtained from questionnaire with use analysis *structural equation modeling* with *analysis moment of structure* version 21, namely with method analysis regression as shown in table 4.18 above. Test hypothesis this done with use criteria *Critical Ratio* above 2.00 and value *P-value* below 0.05. If mark results study fulfil criteria that, then hypothesis study could accepted. Hypothesis first. Leadership style influential positive and significant to performance employees.

Hypothesis first on research this is style leadership influential positive and significant to satisfaction work employee House Manado Adventist Hospital. The results of data processing through analysis of structural equation modeling with software moment of structure analysis version 21 is obtained Critical Ratio value for connection Among variable style leadership with variable employee as seen in table 4.18 is of 0.013 with mark P.valu of 0.989. Second result mark no fulfil criteria that is more small 2.00 for Critical Ratio and more big from 0.05 to P-value. So with thus hypothesis study first this no could accepted. It means with repair style leadership will Upgrade performance employee House Manado Adventist Hospital. Study this prove that style leadership influential positive and significant to performance employees. Employee need got attention from leader in the form of fulfillment to needs and provide environment conducive work, that create satisfaction work employees. For measure variable style leadership with indicator according to Bhatti (2012) type leadership classic classified like following; Type authoritarian, type democratic and type control free.

Indicator variable style leadership that has the highest loading factor that is type democratic. Indicator variable style leadership this is perception highest from respondent or is longing respondents to Home Manado Adventist Hospital has leader with style leadership democratic. While in type leadership authoritarian and lassiez faire get more loading factor low. This thing Becomes input head holder interest House Manado Adventist Hospital as one *best practice* in effort create tough leadership _ accompanied with satisfaction work his employees. Characteristic leadership democratic that is mutual respect and appreciate good between employee nor leader to his subordinates. Leadership democratic oriented to man as well as give efficient guidance to his followers. A leader democratic happy accept suggestions, opinions, criticism from his subordinates, make his subordinates be success, emphasize cooperation, work team in effort reach goal. Siagian (1994) stated that style leadership democratic involve ability absolute belonging to subordinates in the process of taking decision strategic organization. Next Kurniadin (2012) stated that leadership democratic value potency every individual

nor listen subordinates advice and suggestions. because of that leadership democratic very liked by group or team work in the company he leads.

Harmonious research results with study this as following style leadership no influential positive to performance employees.

1. Hypothesis second. Leadership style influential positive and significant to satisfaction work employees.

Hypothesis first on research this is style leadership influential positive and significant to satisfaction work employee House Manado Adventist Hospital. The results of data processing through structural equation modeling analysis with moment of structure analysis software version 21 earned Critical Ratio value for connection Among variable style leadership with variable satisfaction work employee as seen in table 4.18 is of 3.404 with mark P.valu as big as *** means significant more small of 0.001. Second result mark already fulfil criteria that is above 2.00 for Critical Ratio and below 0.05 for P-value. So with thus hypothesis study second this could accepted. It means with repair style leadership will Upgrade satisfaction work employee House Manado Adventist Hospital. Study this prove that style leadership influential positive and significant to satisfaction work employee need got attention from leader in the form of fulfillment to needs and provide environment conducive work, so that create satisfaction work employees. For measure variable style leadership with indicator according to Bhatti (2012) type leadership classic classified like following ; Type authoritarian, type democratic and type control free.

Indicator variable style leadership that has the highest loading factor that is type democratic. Indicator variable style leadership this is perception highest from respondent or is longing respondents to Home Manado Adventist Hospital has leader with style leadership democratic. Whereas type leadership authoritarian and lassiez faire gets mark more low. This thing Becomes input head holder interest House Manado Adventist Hospital as a best practice in effort create tough leadership accompanied with satisfaction work his employees. Characteristic leadership democratic that is mutual respect and appreciate good between employee nor leader to his subordinates. Leadership democratic oriented to man as well as give efficient guidance to his followers. A leader democratic happy accept suggestions, opinions, criticism from his subordinates, make his subordinates be success, emphasize cooperation, work team in effort reach goal. Siagian (1994) stated that style leadership democratic involve ability absolute belonging to subordinates in the process of taking decision strategic organization. Next Kurniadin (2012) stated that leadership democratic value potency every individual nor listen subordinates advice and suggestions. because of that leadership democratic very liked by group or team work in the company he leads.

Harmonious research results with study this as following; Setianingsih (2012), Munir M (2013), Deddy Kawengian *et al*, 2015 stated that style leadership influential positive and significant to satisfaction work employees. Supporting research results _ from Berno BM and Emilia Khristina, (2019) stated that style leadership democratic influential significant to satisfaction work employees.

2. Hypothesis third. Motivation work influential positive and significant to satisfaction work employee

Motivation work influential positive and significant to satisfaction work employee House Manado Adventist Hospital. The results of data processing through analysis of structural equation modeling with software moment of structure analysis version 21 is obtained Critical Ratio value for connection Among variable motivation work with variable satisfaction work employee as seen in table 4.18 is of 3,537 with mark P.valu as big as *** means significant more small of 0.001. Second mark this show satisfying results condition namely Critical Ratio below 2.00 and P-value above 0.05. With thus could said that hypothesis third study this could accepted. It means with repair motivation work will could Upgrade satisfaction work employee House Manado Adventist Hospital. This research to prove that motivation work influential to satisfaction work employees. With give attention main on motivation work expected capable create satisfaction work high employees. For measure variable motivation work

used indicator according to David McClelland in Winardi (2007) namely performance work, power and affiliation.

Indicator main the variable that has the highest loading factor that is need affiliation. Need affiliation is longing respondent so that management House Manado Adventist Sick get fulfil need affiliation, then performance employee will increase. Need to affiliation is need member organization for work same with other employees (McClelland in Winardi (2007). state this is need social employee in carry out and complete her job. This thing in accordance with results Robbins opinion in Hasibuan (2014) stated that motivation as readiness for move effort level tall going to objective organization with effort for satisfying a number of need individual. Need affiliation very needed employee for carry out and complete duties and responsibilities assigned work _ Company. Next according to Ryan (2019) states that motivation work with team will give results that is enhance mutual _ believe, give results best work Duty with ok, responsible answer fulfilled and successful by together.

Harmonious research results with study this as following: according to results study Setyaningsih Sri Utami (2012) stated that Motivation influential significant to satisfaction work. Next Muhlis and Rusli (2020) also stated that motivation influential significant by Partial to satisfaction work device village Sondosia.

4. Hypothesis fourth. Motivation work influential positive and significant to performance employee

Motivation work influential positive and significant to performance employee House Manado Adventist Hospital. Motivation work influential positive and significant to performance employee House Manado Adventist Hospital. The results of data processing through analysis of structural equation modeling with software moment of structure analysis version 21 is obtained Critical Ratio value for connection Among variable motivation work with variable performance employee as seen in table 4.18 is by 0.568 more small from 2.00 and with mark P.valu of 0.570 more big of 0.05. Second mark this show results that are not fulfil condition namely Critical Ratio below 2.00 and P-value more small of 0.05. With thus could said that hypothesis fourth study this no could accepted. It means with repair motivation work no could Upgrade performance employee House Manado Adventist Hospital. So research this prove that motivation work no influential to performance employees.

For measure variable motivation work used indicator according to David McClelland in Winardi (2007) namely performance work, power and affiliation. Indicator variable motivation perceived work high by respondents is need to affiliation. It means need employee for cooperation with employee other, happy work with other people, interact with employees and friendly with employee new as well as readiness help others. If things this could realized in accordance with longing respondent so motivation work will increase. Findings this support Maslow's opinion in Reksohadiprojo and Handoko (1996) on need social that is need friendship, affiliation and interaction with other people. In company related with need group work, good supervision, recreation together and so on. This thing supported by Hakim (2009) which states that demands fulfillment need this grow by gradually, but in the end is integrated needs. With fulfilled need to affiliation this so employee will more enthusiastic in work and in the end performance employee will increase.

Indicator variable motivation another job is need achievement and needs more power loading factor low. Employee need given opportunity for showing ability in carry out and complete their respective jobs. Findings this in accordance with Uno's opinion (2013) states that motivation work is encouragement from inside and outside self-somebody for To do something visible from internal dimensions and dimensions external.

state House Manado Adventist Pain is less more one half year no could fulfil need employees that is only give salary and benefits only 50-65% of total acquisition normal salary. state this cause a number of employee stop and move to House another pain. still available permanent employee work, come late and even no enter work. This thing could cause dissatisfaction to employee as well as as a result performance employee will decreased. Fulfilled need employee against her job will give satisfaction

and with full spirit complete her job. This thing in accordance with hierarchy Maslow 's needs in Mulyasa (2009) shared need man in five categories that is need physiological, safety needs, needs will love dear, need will price self and needs actualization self. more or less one year half employee House Manado Adventist Pain is less motivated as well as finally cause dissatisfaction. Next Hakim (2009) stated that demands fulfillment need this grow by gradually, but in the end is integrated needs.

CONCLUSION

Based on results testing hypothesis research and discussion that has been outlined chapter previously so get it put forward a number of conclusion as following :

- 1. Leadership style influential positive by direct to satisfaction work employees. It means repair in style leadership will Upgrade satisfaction work employee House Manado Adventist Hospital.
- 2. Motivation work influential positive by immediately to performance employees. The meaning is every enhancement motivation work could Upgrade performance employee House Manado Adventist Hospital.
- 3. Satisfaction work influential negative and significant to performance employees. It means with method enhancement satisfaction work not yet could upgrade performance employee House Manado Adventist Hospital.
- 4. Based on analysis Style covariance leadership influential positive by direct to motivation work. It means with repair style leadership will be followed by improvement motivation work employee House Manado Adventist Hospital.
- 5. Through *standardized estimates and confirmatory factor analysis* obtained indicator variable with *loading factor* highest that is type leadership, democracy, needs will affiliation, satisfaction to colleague work and independence to job. It means these four indicators according to needy respondent _ get attention from management House Manado Adventist Hospital. The four parameters/ indicators variable study Becomes input to management so that given attention main in management House Manado Adventist Hospital.
- 6. Employee performance House Manado Adventist Pain is affected by direct by style leadership, motivation work, and satisfaction work.

REFERENCES

- 1. Abidin, Nur. 2010. "Influence satisfaction, motivation and discipline work against performance employees in the neighborhood provincial religious department regional office Java middle." Journal thesis. Postgraduate Program Bachelor Degree from Dian Nuswantoro University Semarang.
- 2. Aditya, R. 2015. Influence Training To Competence and Performance Employees (Study on Employees of PT. PLN (Persero) Distribution East Java Malang Area).
- 3. Adolf Henry, 2009. *Motivation Work, Culture Organization And Productivity work employee,* Journal Psychology.
- 4. Andre Setiawan, 2017. The Influence of Style Leadership Participatory To Employee Performance Through Motivation Work and Satisfaction Work at PT Asri Motor.
- 5. Agus, Dharma, 2003. *Management Supervision*. King of Grafindo Persada ; Jakarta Arief Teguh Nugroho, 2018.
- 6. *Influence of Leadership Style, Motivation and Loyalty on Employee Performance.* College of Science Pelita Bangsa Economy, Bekasi
- 7. Augusty Ferdinand 2002, "*Structural Equation Modeling in research Management*". BP Undip, Semarang Ahmad. A. W, 2013.

- 8. *Leadership of Islamic Educational Institutions. Management Educational Institutions.* Islamic Studies Program (PAI).
- 9. Aini, Q. 2004. Relationship between Leadership Style and Commitment employee Employee To Satisfaction Work at PKU Muhammadiyah Hospital Yogyakarta. Journal Management Health Services.
- 10. Amirullah. 2015. Introduction Management. Jakarta. Publisher : Mitra Wacana mada Andang. 2014. Management and Leadership Head school. Yogyakarta : Ar Ruzz Media.
- 11. Andhika. 2020. Importance Employee Performance Appraisal for company.
- 12. Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2006. Procedure Study Something Approach practice. Jakarta: Rineka Create.
- 13. Arisandy Y. 2017. Performance Appraisal Civil Servant _ With man Use Combination Key Performance Indicator Method And 360 Degree feedbak. Baabu Al- Ilmi Vol. 2 No.
- 14. Aristarchus Didimus R. 2016. Influence Motivation Work To Employee at Bank Indonesia Institute, http://asmi.ac.id/e-journals/files/35.
- 15. As'ad, M. 2003. *Management Source Power Human*, (Jakarta: Earth characters), Asstutiningsih, et al. 2019. *Analysis of Ki. leadership style Hadjar Councilor, Compensation Culture organization on Performance*. Journal economy business and People's Sovereign Management.
- 16. Baharudin, and Eza Nur, 2012, *Theory Learning And Understanding*, AR- Ruzz Media, Yogyakarta
- 17. Bhatti, N. (2012). *The Impact of Autocratic and Democratic Leadership Style Style on Job Satisfaction.* International Business Research, 192-200.
- 18. Bintoro & Daryanto, 2 017. *Management Employee Performance Appraisal*, publisher Media Style, Yogyakarta
- 19. Brotosedjati, S. 2011. Supervisory Performance School Research on Supervisor Kindergarten at Ex Surakarta residency. Semarang: IKIP PGRI
- 20. Budhi, 2011. Approach Theory Behavior (Behavioral Theory).
- 21. Budianto, Eko. 2013. System Information Management Source power Human. Yogyakarta: Graha Science. ------, 2019.
- 22. Influence Motivation Work, Leadership, Education And Satisfaction Work on Employee Performance stay home _ House Sick Graha Husada. Study Program Management Faculty Economics and Business University of Muhammadiyah Gresik.
- 23. Carter V. Good, 1959. Dictionary of Education. (New York: McGraw Hill Book Conpanny).
- 24. Cahyono, Bambang Tri. 1996. Management Source Power Human. Jakarta: Agency IPWI Publisher
- 25. Damayanti et al. 2013. Influence Compensation and Motivation Work To Performance of Employees of Regional Drinking Water Companies in Surakarta Surkarta. Jupe UNS, Vol 2, No 1, Pages 155 to 168.
- 26. Davis, K. and Newstrom John W. 2002. *Behavior In organization*, Translation Juniati, Jakarta: Erlangga.
- 27. Denny E et al, 2020. Influence Motivation on Performance Employees at PT Industrial Gases Tbk. Bina Sarana Informatics University
- 28. Dewi SH & Hazmanian, 2019. Influence Leadership, Compensation To Satisfaction Work Through Motivation work.

- 29. Dressler G. 2000. Personnel Management. Edition 3, Translation Agus Dharma
- 30. Eferida J Sinurat, 2017. *Influence of Leadership Style To Satisfaction work*. Faculty Economics Indonesian Methodist University
- 31. Elzi Syaiyid et al 2013. *Influence of Leadership Style To Motivation Work* (study on employees of Radar Malang PT. Malang Intermedia Pers).
- 32. Fachrun et al, 2017. Influence of Style Leadership To Satisfaction Work Work Employees At PT. Ciomas Palembang superhumans. Study program Indo Global Mandiri University Management
- 33. Fathoni, Abdurrahmat. 2006. Organization and Management Source Power Human. Jakarta: Rineka Create Fred Fiedler. 1967. A Theory of Leadership Effectiveness.
- 34. Fuat Amin, 2016. *The Influence of Leadership Style on Employee Performance Employee* Bank Bjb Sub Branch Office (KCP) Sumbersari Gunawan, 2012.
- 35. Influence of Leadership Style Democratic and Motivation Work To Satisfaction Work Employee At the District Secretariat Singkep.
- 36. Habibi, Beni, 2005. Factors Influencing Factors _ Motivation work Employees at PT. Regional Health Insurance VI Central Java and DIY Hamidi, 2020.
- 37. *The Influence of Style Leadership and Motivation Work to Performance*. Accounting Study Program, FE, UNRIKA, Indonesia. Dimensions, Vo. 9. no1:1 ISSN: 2085-9996.
- 38. Handoko, Hani and Reksohadiprodjo, 2000, *Management Source Power Man and Company*, Edition Second, BPFE, Yogyakarta.
- 39. Handoko, Hani. 2001. Personnel and Resource Management Power Human. Yogyakarta: BPFE Jogja.
- 40. Hasibuan. Malay.SP1999. *Management Source Power Human, Basic and Key success.* Jakarta: CV. Haji Masagung ------, 2001. *Source Management Power Human.* Print Ninth Jakarta: Mount Agung.
- 41. 2003 Organization and Motivation. Publisher : Earth Jakarta Script ------, 2014. Management Source Power Human, Mold Fourth bebel, Jakarta, Publisher : Bumi characters.
- 42. 2016, *Management Source Power Man* Edition Revision, Print Ninth Belas, PT. Bumi Aksara, Jakarta
- 43. Herwati H & Ernawati D (2020) *The Influence of Leadership Style and Motivation on Employee Performance.* (Study Case in the Production Department of PT. Tae Jong Indonesia) Faculty As Islamic University of Economics and Business syafi'iyah Hersey Paul, Blanchard. 1993. *Management for organizational behavior system* Singapore: Prentice Hall.
- 44. 2014, Management Behavior Organization : Empowerment Source
- 45. Power human, Translation Agus Dharma. Erlangga, Jakarta
- 46. Ilyas, Y. 1999. Performance: Theory Assessment and Research. Jakarta: FKM UI.
- 47. IQ. Jakarta: PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- 48. Ismainar, Hetty 2015. *Management work units*. Published : Sleman Ivan Suciadi, Michael Angelo Wijaya, 2017. *Influence Analysis Profession That*
- 49. Alone, Compensation, Partner Work, and Leadership To Satisfaction Work Employee Operational Section Restaurant Carnivor Steak and Grill Surabaya
- 50. Jufrizen and Tiara Safani Sitorus, 2021. *Influence Motivation Work and Satisfaction Work* Faculty Economics and Business, University of Muhammadiyah North Sumatra

- 51. Kambey, Daniel. C. 2012. Introduction Management. Tri Ganesha Nusantara Foundation
- 52. 2013. Introduction Management. Tri. Foundation Ganesha. Archipelago
- 53. Kartono, Kartini, 1994, *Leaders and Leadership, Is Leader That Abnormal ?*, PT. King of Grafindo Persada, Jakarta.
- 54. Khotimah. 2021. Khotimah E. 2021. *The Influence of Style Leadership, Culture Organization and Training on Employee Performance at Home Sick Beautiful Cottage.* Journal Economics, Volume 23 Number 1, February
- 55. Koontz, H; Cyril, O'Donnel & Heinz Weihrich. 1986. Management. Volume 2.
- 56. Translation : Gunawan Hutauruk. Jakarta: Publisher Erlangga
- 57. 1990. Management. Volume 2 translation : Gunawan Hutauruk. Jakarta: Erlangga
- 58. Kouzes, James M. & Barry Z. Posner. 2007. The Leadership Challenge.San
- 59. Francisco:Jossey -Bass
- 60. Kurniadin, et al. 2012. Education Management Consensus and Principles of Lolaan Education. Yogyakarta: Ar-Ruzz Media
- 61. Leonardi Lokwati, 2015. Influence of Leadership Style, Environment work and Motivation on Employee Performance Pt. Globalindo Mutiara.
- 62. Luthans, Fred. 2006. Behavior Organization edition 10. Yogyakarta: ANDI
- 63. Mahmudi, 2005, Sector Performance Management Public, Yogyakarta, UPP AMP YKPN
- 64. Mangkunegara, 2005, Performance Evaluation Source Power human, Refika Aditama Jakarta.
- 65. 2007 Performance Evaluation Source Power Human. Bandung: Rafika Aditama.
- 66. 2011. Management Source Power Man company. Bandung PT. Teenager Rosdakarya.
- 67. 2017, Resource Performance Evaluation Power Man Print Eighth PT. Refika Aditama.
- 68. Mangkunegara, 2005, Evaluation Source Performance Power human, Refika Aditama Jakarta.
- 69. Mary Pak & Teresa Jones. 2005. Education: Hospitalists Add Value to Formal and Informal Learning Processes. The Hospitalist.
- 70. Mardiyana et al, 2021. Influence Satisfaction Work, Commitment Top Organizations dap Employee Performance Sharia People's Financing Bank, Yogyakarta.
- 71. Marsick, VJ and Watkins KE 1991. Learning Informal and Incidental in The place Work, London : Routledge.
- 72. Masaong AK 2009. Connection Intelligence Intellectual, Intelligence Emotional Intelligence Spiritual, Leadership Style Head school and Climate School with School Performance in Secondary Education In Gorontalo
- 73. Maslow, AH (1954). Motivation and Personality. New York, NY : Harper & Row Publishers.
- 74. Masri Singarimbun. And Sofyan Effendi. 1985. Method study survey. Jakarta : LP3ES-LK UGM.
- 75. Mathis and Jackson. 2002. Management Source Power Human. Yogyakarta: Andi Offset.
- 76. McCollKennedy, JR, & Anderson, RD 2002. *Impact of leadership style and emotion on subordinate performance*. The Leadership Quaterly.
- 77. Miftah Thoha. 1993. *Leadership in Management something Approach behavior*. King Grafindo Pustaka. Jakarta.
- 78. 2001. Behavior Organization Basic Concepts and Applications. Jakarta : eagle.

- 79. 2014. Leadership in Management. PT Raja Grafindo Persada. Jakarta.
- 80. Mass Mi.2017. *Informal Learning in Medical Education*. Oakland University William Beaumont School of Medicine, USA
- 81. Mulyasa, E. 2009. Education Unit Level Curriculum. Bandung: Teens Rosdakarya.
- 82. 2002. Management based on Schools, Concepts, Strategies and Implements mentasi Bandung: PT Teenagers Rosdakarya, 2002
- 83. Munir M. 2013. The Effect of Leadership Style, Motivation Work, Culture Organization and Satisfaction Work on Employee Performance House House Sick General Region of Tugurejo Semarang Misbachul Faculty
- 84. Economy UniversityDian Nuswantoro Semarang.
- 85. Neolaka, Amos. (2017). Education Foundation. Depok: PT Kharisma Putra
- 86. Main.
- 87. Ostroff, Cherry (1992), *The Relationship Between Satisfaction Attitudes and and Performance Organization Level Analysis*, Journal of Applied Applied Psychology. Vol.77. No. 68. P. 933-974
- 88. Pak, M H. *Hospitalist, Director, General Medicine Consultation Service,* Associate Professor of Medicine, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine, Madison, WI.
- 89. Pasolong, Harbani. 2007. Theory Administration Public. Makassar : Alphabet
- 90. Paul Hager & Michelle Kelly. 2015. *Informal Learning: Relevance and A publication to Health Care* Simulation Clinical Simulation in Nursing 11(8):376-382 DOI:10.1016/j.ecns.2015.05.006
- 91. Porter, LW 1961. A study of perceived Need Satisfaction in Bottom and Middle Management Jobs. Journal of Applied Psychology.
- 92. Qurratul Aini and Herianto Susilo. 2013 The Influence of Dan. 's Leadership Style Satisfaction Work Against the Performance of Nurses in Inpatient Room A RSUP DR. Soeradji Tirtonegoro Klaten. Postgraduate Management House Yogyakarta Muhammadiyah University Hospital
- 93. Reynilda. 2020. Influence Culture Organization and Satisfaction work to Employee Performance at Home sick Hative Ambon. STIE Nobel Indonesian Makassar. Journal Economix Volume 8 Number 2.
- 94. RidwanN & Darmawansyah, I. 2011. *Influence of Leadership Style and Satisfaction work on Employee Performance* **at** Namlea Hospital Buru Regency, Maluku Province.
- 95. Riski Damayanti et al, 2018. Influence Satisfaction Work Against Performance Employees (study case non- medical employees of Siti Khodijah Islamic Hospital Palembang).
- 96. Robbins, Stephen P. 1998. Behavior Organization. Jakarta : Pren Halindo.
- 97. -----. 2002 Principles Principle Behavior Organization. Edition fifth.
- 98. Translated by : Halida, Dewi Sartika. Jakarta: Publisher Erlangga Robbins SP, & Judge FY2009. *Behavior Organization (OrganizationalBehavior) behavior)* Jakarta: Salemba Four
- 99. 2011. Organizational Behavior. New Jersey: Person Education, Inc.
- 100. Rudolp felt. 2009. Relationship Behavior Leadership, Skills Manager
- 101. Management Conflict, Power Stand Stress Teacher Work with Teacher Performance
- 102. Public Elementary School in Ambon City
- 103. Ryan, 2019. Benefit Story Short Motivation Teamwork. _ https://garden suit

- 104. System.com/ benefits work -team
- 105. Samsudin, Sadili. 2009. Management Source Power Human. Print First. Library Bandung
- 106. Sastradipoera 1998 leadership based on behavior
- 107. Sedarmayanti, MA (2014). Management Source Power Human, Reform
- 108. Bureaucracy, and Civil State Management. Bandung : PT Refika Aditama
- 109. Sedarmayanti, MA 2006. Management Source Power Human, Reform
- 110. Bureaucracy, and Civil State Management. Bandung : PT Refika Aditama.
- 111. Sherlie & Wisdom, 2020. Influence Motivation, Discipline, and Compensation To
- 112. Employee Performance PT Benwin Indonesia Batam.
- 113. Siagian, SP, 1994. Management Strategic, Jakarta: Earth Script
- 114. 2003. Theory and Practice Leadership (mold fifth). Jakarta : Rineka Create.
- 115. 2008. Management Source Power Humans (print 15). Jakarta: Earth characters.
- 116. 2012. Management Source Power human, PT Bumi characters. Jakarta
- 117. Sigit V. Susilo, 2018. *Reflection on Ki Hadjar's Educational Values Councilor in Upaua Return teak Self Education Indonesia* Jurnalcakrawala pendas Vol.4 no.1 disi January 2018.
- 118. Simamora, H. 1995 Management Source Power Human. 3rd Edition. STIE YKPN. Yogyakarta
- 119. Sopiah, 2008, Behavior Organization, Andi, Yogyakarta
- 120. Sudra, Rano Indradi, 2010. Statistics House Sick, Yogyakarta : Graha science,
- 121. Sugiyono. 2014. Method Study Management. Bandung. Publisher : CV Alfabeta.
- 122. Suhaeni, 2018. Influence Satisfaction Work, Load Work And Compensation Against Employee Performance.
- 123. Supriyanto, A. (2009). Quality of School Education Basics in the Dissemination Area Primary Education Quality Improvement Project (PEQIP). Journal Knowledge Education State University of Malang, 4(4).
- 124. Suranta, Sri. 2002. Impact Motivation Employees On The Relationship Between Style Leadership With Employee Performance Business Company. empirical. Vol 15.Number 2.
- 125. Suryo Angga DN, 2019. Influence Compensation, Flexibility Work, Satisfaction Work Against the Performance of Ojek Driver Employees at the Surakarta Residency EKS.
- 126. Sutrisno, Edi.2010. Management Source Power Man Edition first. Jakarta,
- 127. 2016. Management Source Power Human. -8th printing. Jakarta: Prenada Media Group
- 128. Sutarto Wijono. 2010. Psychology Industry & Organization. Jakarta: Kencana.
- 129. Tarjo, 2019. Influence Motivation Work and Satisfaction Work on Performance Employees. (study at H. Hanafie Muara Bungo Hospital).
- 130. Tiara TL & Harlen, 2015. Style Influence Leadership, Culture Organization and Culture Organization against satisfaction Work and Employee Performance at Home Sick of Islam Ibn Sina Pekanbaru. Post Bachelor Faculty Economics University of Riau Tobing, Diana S. K. L. 2009. Influence Commitment Organizational and Satisfaction
- 131. Work Against Performance Employee PT. Perkebunan Nusantara III in North Sumatra. Journal Management and Entrepreneurship, Vol.11 (1):3 1-37.

- 132. Uno B Hamzah. 2015. *Theory Motivation and Measurement*, Jakarta: PT Bumi Script Vigoda. Gadot, E. 2006.
- 133. Leadership Style, Organizational and Employee Performance. Leadership Styles, 653-664.
- 134. Wibowo, 2012, Performance Management, Rajawali Press, Jakarta
- 135. Winardi, J, 2007, Management Behavior Organization, Prenada Media, Jakarta.
- 136. Yasin Aziz, 2001, Leadership in development Organization trajectory Economics, Volume XVIII. Number 1.
- 137. Yukl, G. 1989. Managerial Leadership : A Review of Theory and Research. Journal of Management, 15(2): 251-289
- 138. Yuniaty I. Frinsica, 2017. Influence Satisfaction Work To Salary, Boss, And Colleague Work And Promotion Against Employee Performance PT Mitra Adhitama. Master's thesis, Mercuna University Buana Jakarta.
- 139. Yuniarti D, Suprianto R. 2014. The Influence of Style Leadership and Level Education of Employee Performance at the Directorate PT X. Operations
- 140. *PT.X.* Industrial Engineering Study Program Faculty of Engineering, University of Nurtanio Bandung. INDEPT, Vol. 4, No. February 1, 2014 ISSN 2087 9245
- 141. Zulkifli H et al, 2017. *The Influence of Leadership Style and Competence To Satisfaction* Governor 's Office *Employee Work and Performance* South Sulawesi Province. Fac. Hasanudin University Economics, Ujung Pandang. South Sulawesi.
- 142. 2021. *Influence Satisfaction Work Against employee performance* At the Department of Labor Regency Berau.